

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (ES)	ES-1
1 INTRODUCTION	1-2
1.1 Purpose of the Groundwater Sustainability Plan	1-2
1.2 Sustainability Goal	1-5
1.3 Agency Information.....	1-6
1.3.1 Organization and Management of the Santa Cruz Mid-County Groundwater Agency ..	1-6
1.3.2 Legal Authority of the Santa Cruz Mid-County Groundwater Agency.....	1-8
1.3.3 Estimated Cost of Implementing the GSP and the MGA’s Approach to Meet Costs.....	1-8
1.4 Member Agency Descriptions	1-9
1.4.1 Soquel Creek Water District.....	1-9
1.4.2 City of Santa Cruz Water Department.....	1-10
1.4.3 Central Water District	1-10
1.4.4 Santa Cruz County	1-11
1.5 Private Well Owner Representation	1-11
1.6 GSP Organization.....	1-11
1.6.1 Groundwater Sustainability Plan Organization	1-11
2 PLAN AREA AND BASIN SETTING	2-1
2.1 Description of Plan Area.....	2-1
2.1.1 Summary of Jurisdictional Area and Other Features.....	2-2
2.1.2 Water Resources Monitoring and Management Programs.....	2-18
2.1.3 Land Use Elements or Topic Categories of Applicable General Plans.....	2-25
2.1.4 Additional GSP Elements.....	2-34
2.1.5 Notice and Communication	2-50
2.2 Basin Setting	2-63
2.2.1 Basin Boundaries	2-63
2.2.2 Climate	2-65
2.2.3 Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model	2-66
2.2.4 Current and Historical Groundwater Conditions	2-91
2.2.5 Water Budget	2-139
2.2.6 Management Areas.....	2-203
3 SUSTAINABILITY MANAGEMENT CRITERIA	3-1
3.1 Sustainability Goal	3-1
3.2 Sustainable Management Criteria	3-1
3.2.1 Process of Developing Sustainable Management Criteria	3-3
3.3 Monitoring Network.....	3-3
3.3.1 Description of Monitoring Networks	3-4
3.3.2 Monitoring Protocols for Data Collection and Monitoring.....	3-24
3.3.3 Representative Monitoring Points	3-28
3.3.4 Assessment and Improvement of Monitoring Network	3-43

3.4	Chronic Lowering of Groundwater Levels Sustainable Management Criteria	3-47
3.4.1	Undesirable Results - Chronic Lowering of Groundwater Levels	3-47
3.4.2	Minimum Thresholds - Chronic Lowering of Groundwater Levels	3-48
3.4.3	Measurable Objectives - Chronic Lowering of Groundwater Levels	3-55
3.5	Reduction of Groundwater in Storage Sustainable Management Criteria	3-55
3.5.1	Undesirable Results - Reduction of Groundwater in Storage	3-55
3.5.2	Minimum Thresholds - Reduction of Groundwater in Storage	3-58
3.5.3	Measurable Objectives - Reduction of Groundwater Storage	3-61
3.6	Seawater Intrusion Sustainable Management Criteria	3-63
3.6.1	Undesirable Results - Seawater Intrusion	3-63
3.6.2	Minimum Thresholds - Seawater Intrusion	3-68
3.6.3	Measurable Objectives - Seawater Intrusion	3-80
3.7	Degraded Groundwater Quality Sustainable Management Criteria	3-85
3.7.1	Undesirable Results - Degraded Groundwater Quality	3-85
3.7.2	Minimum Thresholds - Degraded Groundwater Quality	3-86
3.7.3	Measurable Objectives - Degraded Groundwater Quality	3-90
3.8	Land Subsidence Sustainable Management Criteria	3-95
3.8.1	Undesirable Results - Land Subsidence	3-95
3.8.2	Minimum Thresholds - Land Subsidence	3-95
3.8.3	Measurable Objectives - Land Subsidence	3-95
3.9	Depletion of Interconnected Surface Water Sustainable Management Criteria	3-95
3.9.1	Undesirable Results - Depletion of Interconnected Surface Water	3-96
3.9.2	Minimum Thresholds - Depletion of Interconnected Surface Water	3-99
3.9.3	Measurable Objectives - Depletion of Interconnected Surface Water	3-104
4	PROJECTS AND MANAGEMENT ACTIONS	4-1
4.1	Baseline Projects and Management Actions (Group 1)	4-5
4.1.1	Water Conservation and Demand Management	4-5
4.1.2	Planning and Redistribution of Municipal Groundwater Pumping	4-6
4.2	Projects and Management Actions Planned to Reach Sustainability (Group 2)	4-7
4.2.1	Pure Water Soquel	4-8
4.2.2	Aquifer Storage and Recovery	4-15
4.2.3	Water Transfers / In Lieu Groundwater Recharge	4-22
4.2.4	Distributed Storm Water Managed Aquifer Recharge (DSWMAR)	4-28
4.3	Identified Projects and Management Actions That May Be Evaluated in the Future (Group 3)	4-31
4.3.1	Recycled Water - Groundwater Replenishment and Reuse	4-31
4.3.2	Recycled Water – Surface Water (Reservoir) Augmentation	4-33
4.3.3	Recycled Water – Direct Potable Reuse	4-34
4.3.4	Groundwater Pumping Curtailment and/or Restrictions	4-35
4.3.5	Local Desalination	4-36
4.3.6	Regional Desalination	4-37

5 PLAN IMPLEMENTATION5-1

5.1 Estimate of GSP Implementation Costs.....5-1

 5.1.1 Estimate of Ongoing Costs by Major Category5-1

 5.1.2 Activities of the MGA Member Agencies.....5-8

 5.1.3 Total Estimated Implementation Costs Through 2040.....5-10

 5.1.4 Funding sources and mechanisms5-11

5.2 Schedule for Implementation5-12

 5.2.1 Projects and Management Actions5-13

5.3 Annual Reporting5-13

5.4 Periodic (5-Year) Evaluations5-15

6 REFERENCES AND TECHNICAL STUDIES.....6-1

7 ACRONYMS7-1

8 GLOSSARY8-1

APPENDICES APP-1

Figures

Figure 1-1. Basin Location Map	1-3
Figure 1-2. Sustainability Indicators.....	1-4
Figure 2-1. Area Covered by the MGA's Groundwater Sustainability PlanNeighboring Groundwater Basins	2-3
Figure 2-2. Jurisdictional Boundaries and Census Designated Places in or near the Santa Cruz Mid-County Groundwater Basin	2-7
Figure 2-3. Adjudicated Areas, Other Agencies within the Basin, and Areas Covered by an Alternative Plan.....	2-8
Figure 2-4. Jurisdictional Boundaries of Federal or State Lands	2-9
Figure 2-5. Existing Land Use Designations.....	2-14
Figure 2-6. Well Density per Square Mile	2-17
Figure 2-7. Basin Land Uses	2-28
Figure 2-8. Agricultural Land Utilization within the Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin	2-29
Figure 2-9. Average Annual Basin Groundwater Production by User Type	2-31
Figure 2-10. Percentage of Time Surface Water and Groundwater are Connected (Water Years 1985-2015).....	2-49
Figure 2-11. Locations of Beneficial Users in the Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin.....	2-53
Figure 2-12. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Modification Rationale	2-64
Figure 2-13. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Conceptual Model.....	2-67
Figure 2-14. Basin Topography	2-69
Figure 2-15. Basin Soils.....	2-71
Figure 2-16. Basin Surface Geology.....	2-74
Figure 2-17. Coastal Groundwater Elevations Compared with Historical Basin Pumping (1985-2015)	2-77
Figure 2-18. Aquifer and Aquitard Distribution Across the Basin	2-80
Figure 2-19. Hydrostratigraphic Cross-Section, A – A'	2-81
Figure 2-20. Hydrostratigraphic Cross-Section, B – B'	2-82
Figure 2-21. Significant Surface Water Bodies	2-84
Figure 2-22. Groundwater Recharge Zone	2-88
Figure 2-23. Local and Imported Water	2-89
Figure 2-24. Groundwater Elevation Contours in Purisima A-Unit, Fall 2005	2-92
Figure 2-25. Groundwater Elevation Contours in Purisima BC- Unit, Fall 2005.....	2-93
Figure 2-26. Groundwater Elevation Contours in Aromas Red Sands and Pursima F-Unit, Fall 2005	2-94
Figure 2-27. Groundwater Elevations in Tu-Unit, Fall 2016	2-96
Figure 2-28. Groundwater Elevation Contours in Purisima A and AA-Unit, Fall 2016.....	2-97
Figure 2-29. Groundwater Elevation Contours in Purisima BC-Unit, Fall 2016.....	2-98

Figure 2-30. Groundwater Elevation Contours in Purisima DEF/F-Unit, Fall 2016 2-100

Figure 2-31. Groundwater Elevation Contours in the Aromas Area, Fall 2016 2-101

Figure 2-32. 2012-2016 Groundwater Level Trends 2-103

Figure 2-33. Location of Coastal Monitoring Wells 2-105

Figure 2-34. Cumulative Change in Groundwater in Storage 2-108

Figure 2-35. Water Year 2018 Chloride Concentrations 2-109

Figure 2-36. Hydrograph and Chemograph of Moran Lake Medium Well (Montgomery & Associates, 2019)
 Overlain by Hydrograph and Inset Chemograph of Beltz #2 Well (Johnson et al., 2004).... 2-111

Figure 2-37. Water Year 2017 Risk of Seawater Intrusion into Pumped Aquifer Units Based on
 Groundwater Levels and SkyTEM Data on Shallowest Aquifer Unit with Salty Water Just
 Offshore 2-112

Figure 2-38. Known Contaminant Locations 2-116

Figure 2-39. Location of Continuous GPS Stations near the Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin 2-121

Figure 2-40. P212 Larkin Valley CGSP Station Daily Position 2-122

Figure 2-41. P214 Corralitos CGSP Station Daily Position 2-123

Figure 2-42. Hydrologic Process Simulated by the Precipitation-Runoff Modeling Systems (PRMS) 2-125

Figure 2-43. Differences Between Purisima and Aromas Connection to Groundwater 2-127

Figure 2-44. Simulated Minimum Monthly Flows from Moores Gulch to Bates Creek 2-127

Figure 2-45. Simulated Minimum Monthly Flows Downstream from Bates Creek 2-128

Figure 2-46. Areas of Concentrated Groundwater Pumping along Soquel Creek 2-129

Figure 2-47. Conceptual Connections between Soquel Creek, Alluvium, and Underlying Aquifers 2-130

Figure 2-48. Hydrographs for Main Street Monitoring Wells Compared to Monthly Main Street Pumping,
 Creek Flow and Precipitation 2-131

Figure 2-49. Stream Habitat in the Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin 2-133

Figure 2-50. Wetland and Vegetation Types according to the Natural Communities Commonly Associated
 with Groundwater Dataset 2-135

Figure 2-51. Distribution of Species throughout the Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin according to the
 California Natural Diversity Database 2-136

Figure 2-52. GSFLOW Model Domain 2-140

Figure 2-53. Groundwater Budget Subareas 2-145

Figure 2-54. Apportionment of Precipitation in Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Over the Historical
 Period 2-149

Figure 2-55. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Historical Surface Water Budget 2-151

Figure 2-56. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Watersheds 2-153

Figure 2-57. Soquel Creek Watershed Historical Budget 2-154

Figure 2-58. Aptos Creek Watershed Historical Budget 2-155

Figure 2-59. Corralitos Creek Watershed Historical Budget 2-156

Figure 2-60. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Historical Annual Groundwater Budget (1985 – 2015) 2-160

Figure 2-61. Offshore Groundwater Flow to Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin by Model Layer 2-162

Figure 2-62. North of Aptos Area Faulting Historical Annual Groundwater Budget (1985 – 2015) 2-165

Figure 2-63. South of Aptos Area Faulting Historical Annual Groundwater Budget (1985 – 2015) 2-168

Figure 2-64. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Current Annual Surface Water Budget 2-171

Figure 2-65. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Current Annual Groundwater Budget (2010 – 2015) 2-174

Figure 2-66. Comparison of Historical, Current, and Projected GSP Groundwater Inflows and Outflows
(acre-feet per year) 2-175

Figure 2-67. North of Aptos Area Faulting Current Annual Groundwater Budget (2010 – 2015) 2-178

Figure 2-68. South of Aptos Area Faulting Current Annual Groundwater Budget (2010 – 2015)..... 2-180

Figure 2-69. Projected Baseline vs. Projected GSP Implementation Net Groundwater Pumping in the Santa
Cruz Mid-County Basin (2016-2039)..... 2-184

Figure 2-70. Projected Baseline vs. Projected GSP Implementation Net Groundwater Pumping in the Santa
Cruz Mid-County Basin (2040-2069)..... 2-185

Figure 2-71. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Projected Annual Surface Water Budget (2016 – 2069)..... 2-188

Figure 2-72. Effect of Projects and Management Actions on Soquel Creek Watershed Groundwater
Contribution (2016 – 2069) 2-189

Figure 2-73. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Projected Baseline Annual Groundwater Budget (2016 –
2069)..... 2-192

Figure 2-74. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Projected GSP Implementation Annual Groundwater Budget
(2016 – 2069)..... 2-193

Figure 2-75. North of Aptos Area Faulting Projected Baseline Annual Groundwater Budget (2016 – 2069) 2-
196

Figure 2-76. North of Aptos Area Faulting Projected GSP Implementation Annual Groundwater Budget
(2016 – 2069)..... 2-197

Figure 2-77. South of Aptos Area Faulting Projected Baseline Annual Groundwater Budget (2016 – 2069) 2-
200

Figure 2-78. South of Aptos Area Faulting Projected GSP Implementation Annual Groundwater Budget
(2016 – 2069)..... 2-201

Figure 3-1. Location of Existing Basin-Wide Wells Used for Groundwater Level Monitoring 3-7

Figure 3-2. Location of Basin-Wide Wells Used for Groundwater Quality Monitoring 3-14

Figure 3-3. Location of Basin Streamflow Gauges..... 3-21

Figure 3-4. Location of Continuous GPS Stations near the Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin 3-23

Figure 3-5. Chronic Lowering of Groundwater Level Representative Monitoring Network..... 3-31

Figure 3-6. Reduction of Groundwater in Storage Representative Monitoring Network..... 3-33

Figure 3-7. Seawater Intrusion Representative Monitoring Network..... 3-34

Figure 3-8. Degraded Groundwater Quality Representative Monitoring Network 3-38

Figure 3-9. Depletion of Interconnected Surface Water Existing Representative Monitoring Network..... 3-42

Figure 3-10. Groundwater Level and Streamflow Monitoring Data Gaps..... 3-46

Figure 3-11. Minimum Thresholds for All Sustainability Indicators with Groundwater Elevation Minimum
Thresholds 3-52

Figure 3-12. 250 mg/L Chloride Isocontour for the Aromas and Pursima Aquifers 3-72

Figure 3-13. Protective Groundwater Elevations at Coastal Monitoring Wells 3-76

Figure 3-14. Seawater Intrusion within the Pajaro Valley (Source: PVWMA) 3-78

Figure 3-15. Simulated Contributions to Streamflow for Soquel Creek Watershed with and without Historical Pumping..... 3-98

Figure 3-16. Main Street Shallow Monitoring Well Hydrograph with Minimum Threshold and Measureable Objective 3-102

Figure 4-1. Five Year Averages of Model Simulated Groundwater Elevations at Coastal Monitoring Wells in Purisima A and BC Units..... 4-11

Figure 4-2. Five Year Averages of Model Simulated Groundwater Elevations at Coastal Monitoring Wells in Purisima F and Aromas Red Sands Units 4-12

Figure 4-3. Monthly Model Simulated Groundwater Elevations in Shallow Wells along Soquel Creek 4-13

Figure 4-4. Five Year Averages of Groundwater Elevations at Purisima AA and A Units 4-20

Figure 4-5. Five Year Averages of Groundwater Elevations at Coastal Monitoring Wells in Tu and Purisima AA and A Units..... 4-26

Figure 5-1. GSP Implementation Schedule 5-12

Figure 5-2. Member Agency Projects and Management Actions Estimated Timeline..... 5-13

Tables

Table 2-1. Groundwater Dependent Species Identified for Priority Management	2-47
Table 2-2. Summary of Public Outreach and Engagement Opportunities	2-61
Table 2-3. Average Santa Cruz Co-op Temperature and Precipitation.....	2-65
Table 2-4. Proportion of Total Basin Extractions by Aquifer and Use Type	2-83
Table 2-5. Groundwater Level Averages Calculated from Logger Data at Coastal Monitoring Wells	2-106
Table 2-6. Representative Aquifer Historic Groundwater Level Declines	2-119
Table 2-7. All Species Identified using California Natural Diversity Database and National Wetlands Inventory and Considered for Management with Potential for Range inside Basin Boundaries 2- 137	
Table 2-8. Non-Salmonid Aquatic Species Identified in Mid-County Streams during Field Sampling Program, 1996-2017	2-138
Table 2-9. Summary of Water Budget Component Data Sources	2-141
Table 2-10. Percentage Distribution of Historical Precipitation in Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin	2-148
Table 2-11. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Historical Surface Water Budget.....	2-150
Table 2-12. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Historical Groundwater Budget Summary (1985 – 2015)	2-157
Table 2-13. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Historical Groundwater Budget by Aquifer Summary (1985 – 2015).....	2-161
Table 2-14. North of Aptos Area Faulting Historical Groundwater Water Budget Summary (1985 – 2015) ..	2- 164
Table 2-15. South of Aptos Area Faulting Historical Groundwater Water Budget Summary (1985 – 2015) ..	2- 167
Table 2-16. Percentage Distribution of Current Precipitation in Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin	2-169
Table 2-17. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Current Surface Water Budget	2-170
Table 2-18. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Current Groundwater Budget Summary (2010-2015).....	2-173
Table 2-19. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Current Groundwater Budget by Aquifer Summary (1985 – 2015).....	2-176
Table 2-20. North of Aptos Area Faulting Current Groundwater Budget Summary (2010 – 2015)	2-177
Table 2-21. South of Aptos Area Faulting Current Groundwater Budget Summary (2010 – 2015).....	2-179
Table 2-22. Percentage Distribution of Projected Precipitation in Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin	2-186
Table 2-23. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Projected GSP Implementation Surface Water Budget	2-187
Table 2-24. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Projected Groundwater Budget Summary (2016 – 2069)	2-191
Table 2-25. North of Aptos Area Faulting Projected Groundwater Water Budget Summary (2016 – 2069) ..	2- 195
Table 2-26. South of Aptos Area Faulting Projected Groundwater Water Budget Summary (2016 – 2069) ..	2- 199
Table 2-27. Projected Sustainable Yield	2-203

Table 3-1. Applicable Sustainability Indicators in the Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin.....	3-4
Table 3-2. Summary of MGA Member Agency Monitoring Well Network for Groundwater Levels	3-5
Table 3-3. Monitoring Wells for Groundwater Levels in the Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin	3-8
Table 3-4. Summary of MGA Member Agency Monitoring Well Network for Groundwater Quality.....	3-13
Table 3-5. Monitoring Wells for Groundwater Quality in the Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin.....	3-15
Table 3-6. Streamflow Gauges in the Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin	3-20
Table 3-7. Representative Monitoring Points for Chronic Lowering of Groundwater Levels	3-30
Table 3-8. Representative Monitoring Points for Seawater Intrusion	3-35
Table 3-9. Representative Monitoring Points for Degraded Groundwater Quality	3-39
Table 3-11. Representative Monitoring Points for Depletion of Interconnected Surface Water	3-41
Table 3-12. Summary of Additional Monitoring Wells to Fill Groundwater Level Data Gaps.....	3-44
Table 3-13. Minimum Thresholds and Measurable Objectives for Chronic Lowering of Groundwater Level Representative Monitoring Points	3-49
Table 3-14. Minimum Thresholds and Measurable Objectives for Reduction of Groundwater of Storage	3-58
Table 3-15. Interim Milestones for Reduction of Groundwater of Storage	3-62
Table 3-16. Summary of Chloride Concentrations in Monitoring and Production Wells at the Coast.....	3-63
Table 3-17. Chloride Minimum Thresholds and Measurable Objectives for Coastal and Inland Wells	3-73
Table 3-18. Minimum Thresholds and Measurable Objectives for Groundwater Elevations Used as Proxies at Seawater Intrusion Representative Monitoring Points.....	3-75
Table 3-19. Interim Milestones for Seawater Intrusion Groundwater Elevation Proxies	3-84
Table 3-20. Constituents of Concern with Minimum Thresholds.....	3-87
Table 3-21. Measurable Objectives for Degradation of Groundwater Quality	3-91
Table 3-22. Minimum Thresholds and Measurable Objectives for Representative Monitoring Points for Depletion of Interconnected Surface Water	3-101
Table 3-23. Interim Milestones for Depletion of Interconnected Surface Water Groundwater Elevation Proxies.....	3-105
Table 4-1. Projects and Management Actions (Groups 1 and 2)	4-3
Table 4-2. Identified Potential Future Projects and Management Actions (Group 3)	4-4
Table 5-1. Estimated Agency Costs by Major Category	5-2
Table 5-2. Member Agency Groundwater Elevation and Quality Monitoring Annual Costs in Basin.....	5-8
Table 5-3. Member Agency Streamflow, Precipitation, and Fish Monitoring Annual Costs in Basin.....	5-9
Table 5-4. Member Agency Projects.....	5-9
Table 5-5. Groundwater Sustainability Plan Estimated Implementation Cost Through 2040	5-10

Appendices

Appendix A – Basin Point of Contact and Mailing Address.....	APP-2
Appendix B – Summary of Public Comments on the Draft GSP and Responses	APP-4
Appendix C – Summary List of Public Meetings and Outreach.....	APP-8
Appendix 1-A Santa Cruz Mid-County Groundwater Agency Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement.....	APP-10
Appendix 2-A. Communication and Engagement Plan.....	APP-28
Appendix 2-B. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Groundwater Flow Model: Water Use Estimates and Return Flow Implementation (Task 2) Memorandum.....	APP-37
Appendix 2-C. Municipal Return Flow Memorandum.....	APP-68
Appendix 2-D. Soquel-Aptos Groundwater Flow Model: Subsurface Model (Task 3) Memorandum.....	APP-82
Appendix 2-E. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Conceptual Model Update Memorandum.....	APP-128
Appendix 2-F. Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin Model Integration and Calibration.....	APP-140
Appendix 2-G. Santa Cruz Mid-County Groundwater Flow Model: Future Climate for Model Simulations (Task 5) Memorandum.....	APP-323
Appendix 2-H. Comparison of Climate Change Scenarios Memorandum.....	APP-348
Appendix 2-I. Implementation and Analysis of Projects and Management Actions in Model Scenarios as Part of Groundwater Sustainability Plan Development.....	APP-361
Appendix 3-A. Technical Approach for Determining Groundwater Elevation Minimum Threshold for Chronic Lowering of Groundwater Levels in Representative Monitoring Wells.....	APP-438
Appendix 3-B. Hydrographs of Representative Monitoring Points for Chronic Lowering of Groundwater Levels.....	APP-444
Appendix 3-C. Summary of Federal, State, and Local Water Quality Regulations.....	APP-462
Appendix 3-D. Hydrographs of Representative Monitoring Points for Depletion of Interconnected Surface Water.....	APP-476
Appendix 5-A. Santa Cruz Mid-County Groundwater Agency Evaluation of Private Pumper Funding Mechanisms and Fee Criteria, Raftelis, May 2019.....	APP-482